ANNUAL PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL REPORT OF

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MIZORAM FOR

TECHNICAL STAFF



| Name of Technical staff | : |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| (in block letter) | |
| Report for the year / period ending | · |

PERSONAL DATA

Part-1 (To be filled in by the Concerned Section/Department of the Institute

| 1 | Name of the employee(in block letter) | | |
|-------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2 | Date of Birth | | |
| 3 | Date of continuous appointment to | Date: | Grade: |
| | the present grade | | |
| 4 | Present post and date of appointment | Post: | Date: |
| | thereto | | |
| 5 | Period of absence from duty (on | Leave at Credit as | Availed during the period under |
| | training, leave etc) during the year. | on or respective date of | report |
| | | joining | , opon |
| i) | Earned Leave (EL) | | |
| ii) | Half Pay Leave (HPL) | | |
| iii) | Commuted Leave | | |
| iv) | Extra Ordinary Leave (EOL) | | |
| v) | Casual Leave (CL) | | |
| vi) | Special Casual Leave (SCL) – Other | | |
| | Organization's Work | | |
| vii) | Special Casual Leave (SCL) – For own | | |
| | academic growth | | |
| viii) | Leave Not Due (LND) | | |
| ix) | Leave Without Pay | | |
| | TOTAL | | |
| x) | Nos. of days on which he/she was out | | |
| | of Station for Personal work | | |
| xi) | Nos. of days on which he/she was out | | |
| | of station for Institute | | |
| | work/training. | | |
| | Please specify | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Please read the instructions carefully before filling up the form

Part-II: To be filled in by the staff reported upon

| 1. | Brief d | escription of duties: | |
|----|-----------------|---|-------------------------|
| | | | |
| 2. | you se order | specify targets/objectives/goals (in quantitat t for yourself or that were set for you, eight to t of priority and your achievement against each t Plan for your Division) | en items of work in the |
| | Sl.No | Targets/Objectives/Goals | Achievements |
| | | | |
| 3. | targets | ease state briefly, the shortfalls with reference to solve tives/goals referred to in item 2. Please eving the targets. | |
| | | | |

| 4. | (B) Please indicate item in achievements and your contrib | which there have been significantly higher oution thereto. |
|----|---|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| 5. | preceding calendar year was f | nnual return on immovable property for the filed within the prescribed date i.e 31st January of r year, if not, the date of filing the return should be |
| | Filed: Yes If yes, date: | Filed: No If not, when filed/to be filed: |
| | Date: | Signature of Staff reported upon |

PART-III: Assessment by Reporting Authority and Reviewing Authority

Numerical grading is to be awarded by the reporting and reviewing authority which should be on a scale of 1-10 where 1 refers to the lowest grade and 10 to the highest.

- 1. The columns in the APAR should be filled with due care and attention and after devoting adequate time.
- 2. It is expected that any grading of 1 or 2 (against work output or attributes or overall grade) would be adequately justified in the pen-picture by way of specific failures and similarly, any grade of 9 or 10 would be justified with respect to specific accomplishment. Grades of 1-2 or 9-10 are expected to be rare occurrences and hence, the need to justify them. In awarding a numerical grade the reporting and reviewing authorities should rate the officer against a larger population of his/her peers that may be currently working under them.
- 3. APARs graded between 8 and 10 will be rated as 'outstanding' and will be give a score of 9 for the purpose of calculating average scores for empanelment/promotion.
- 4. APARs graded between 6 and short of 8 will be rated as 'very good' and will be given a score of 7.
- 5. APARs graded between 4 and short of 6 will be rated as 'good' and will be given a score of 5.
- 6. APARs graded below 4 will be given a score of zero.

A. Assessment of work output (weightage to this section would be 40%)

| Sl.No | Particular | Reporting | Reviewing |
|-------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | Officer | Officer |
| i) | Accomplishment of planned work/ | | |
| | work allotted as per subjects alloted | | |
| ii) | Quality of output | | |
| iii) | Analytical ability | | |
| iv) | Accomplishment of exceptional | | |
| | work/ unforeseen tasks performed | | |
| | Overall Grading on 'Work Output' | | |

B. Assessment of personal attributes (weightage to this would be 30%)

| Sl.No | Particular | Reporting Officer | Reviewing Officer |
|-------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| i) | Attitude to work | | |
| ii) | Sense of responsibility | | |
| iii) | Maintenance of Discipline | | |
| iv) | Communication skills | | |
| v) | Leadership qualities | | |

| vi) | Capacity to work in team spirit |
|-------|-------------------------------------|
| vii) | Capacity to adhere to time-schedule |
| viii) | Inter-personal relations |
| ix) | Overall bearing and personality |
| | Overall Grading on 'Personal |
| | Attributes' |

C. Assessment of functional competency (weightage to this would be 30%)

| Sl.No | Particular | Reporting Officer | Reviewing Officer |
|-------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| i) | Technical knowledge of Rules | | |
| | /procedures in the area of function | | |
| | and ability to apply the correctly | | |
| ii) | Knowledge of Rules/ Regulations | | |
| | /Procedures in the area of function | | |
| iii) | Decision making ability | | |
| iv) | Coordination Ability | | |
| v) | Ability to motivate and develop | | |
| | subordinates | | |
| vi) | Initiative | | |
| | Overall Grading on 'Functional | | |
| | Competency' | | |

Signature of Reporting Officer

Signature of the Reviewing Officer

PART-IV : GENERAL

| 1 | Relations with the public wherever applicable. (Please comment on the Officer's accessibility to the public and responsiveness to their needs) | |
|-------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | Training (Please give recommendations for training with a view to further improving the effectiveness and capabilities of the Officer) | |
| 3 | State of health | |
| 4 | Integrity (Please comment on the integrity of the Officer) | |
| 5 | Pen picture by Reporting Officer (in abqualities of the officer including area o extraordinary achievements, significar and attitude towards weaker sections. | f strengths and lesser strengths, |
| | | |
| | | |
| 6 | Overall numerical grading on the basis of weightage given in Section A, B and C in Part-3 of the Report | |
| | | |
| Place | : | Signature: |
| | | ne in block letter: |
| | | gnation during: |
| | | reporting period |
| | | |

PART-V: REMARKS OF THE REVIEWING OFFICER

| 1 | Length of service under the Reviewing Officer | |
|---|---|---|
| 2 | Do you agree with the assessment made by the to the work output and the various attributes i agree with the assessment of reporting officer achievement/significant failures of the Staff re (A)(iv) and Part-4 (%) (In case you do not agree with any of the numerical a record your assessment in the column provided for y entries) | n Part-3 & Part-4? Do you in respect of extraordinary ported upon? (Ref: Part 3 |
| | | |
| 3 | In case of disagreement, please specify the reaswish to modify or add? | sons. Is there anything you |
| | | |
| 4 | Pen picture by Reviewing Officer. Please community the overall qualities of the staff including area strength and his attitude towards weaker sections. | of strengths and lesser |
| | | |

| 5 | Overall numerical grading on the basis of weightage given in Section-A, | |
|---------|---|--|
| | Section-B and Section-C in Part-III of the report. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Signature of the Reviewing Officer | |
| Place: | Name in block letters: | |
| Date: _ | Designation during the: period of report | |